G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

CB. 5/-20-891-3

1920.

(CB.)

File No. 5/-20

Serial Nos. 445

Later references.

CB 3-23-?

CB 3-23-41, 66, 67

CB. 111-25-3205

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 2

Part B for Jany 21.

SUBJECT.

Representation of Mr. C. Rama Chandra Rao, D.S.P. Kadur for being appointed Sub-protem Assistant Commissioner

---

WD 2283—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

G. R. S. III-1]

1920-21

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(CB)

NOTES.

File No. 51—20

FILE HEADING.

Representation of Mr. C Ramachandra Rao, D.S.P, Kadur for being appointed as Asst Comm. S/St.

From

The I.G of Police in Mysore

No. R.O.C 6244/19-20 Dated 21st Dec 20 Received 23.12.1920

Diary No.] 724 Enclos. 1 Spare Copies. - [Serial No. 4.

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Fd: for favourable consideration a further representation of Mr. Ramachandra Rao on the same subject.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1 Subt with CB 51—20 Ch 1 to 3.

2 There are no new facts in the present representation of Mr. Ramachandra Rao.

3 Mr Ramachandra Rao was Principal P.T.S, Sp[illegible] up to 31st July 1920 A/N on which date the permanent

NOTES—First Sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | CB. File No. 51 of 1920.

Serial No.

incumbent Mr. M Ramakrao reverted & Mr. Rama chandra Rao was appointed acting Asst Commr from 1st July 1920.

4. The appointment of the Principal S.T.S, is not included in the Civil Service Cadre & Mr. M Ramakrao is not shewn as Asst Commr although he is permanent principal. The question whether it has to be included in that cadre has been reserved for consideration after orders are passed in regard to the Civil Service Re-organisation scheme.

HM. Hw 5/11/1921

5. It is not clear in what way it will be advantageous to Mr. Ramachandra Rao to have the period of his duty as Principal treated as that of an Asst Commr. It means as he himself admits no Extra pay for him, nor does it enable him to count the period for any increments, nor again will it in any way help him if revision of assistant Commrs have to be asked. As regards treating him as a S.T.S. Asst Commr, I don't think a case has been made out.

MK 5/1/21

Chief Secr

6. He is probably afraid that in making permanent appointments as he might be superseded by junior

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

714

General and Revenue Secretariat. | CB File No. 51 of 1920.

Serial No.	
	officers simply because they were acting as customarily which be held other officers such as Principal Training School &c.
	7. It may be enough to tell him that all the circs will be taken into consideration when making permanent appts.
	3rd member / Dewan } & Sayer.
	9/1/21 Clerk
	10-1-21
	11/1/21 Draft letter is put up
	15/1/1921
5	To the Dy. of Police - Letter No. 1160 dt 15-1-21 States that all the circumstances will be taken into consideration when permanent arrangements in the grade of Asstt. Comrs are made

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on JAN 8 1921
Reg. No. 4-615

3989
7-1-21

Issue
15/1/21

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

CB 51-20-4

CB 724

CB 23/12/20.

No. Ave 644 Office of the Inspector General of Police
4-9-20 in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore the 21st December 1920.

From

A. SUBRAHMANYA IYER, ESQR., B.A.,
Inspector General of Police in Mysore.

To

42157

G. ARAVAMUDU IYENGAR, ESQR., B.A., B.L.;
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

With reference to Chief Secretary's letters No. 448/C.B. 51-20-3 dated 22nd September 1920 about the claims of Mr. C. Ramachandra Rao, for appointment as Sub-protem Assistant Commissioner, I have the honor to forward for favourable consideration a further representation from the officer, which appears to be reasonable.

I have the honor to be

Sir;

Your most obedient servant,

Inspector General of Police.

From

The Inspector General of Police
in Mysore
Bangalore

To

The Revenue Secretary to the
Govt. of Mysore. Bangalore

Dated
Despatched
Received } 22. Dec/20

General No. Roc 644/19-20

No.

Enclosure No. 1

5

TO,

A. SUBRAMANYA IYER, ESQUIRE., B.A.,
INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN
MYSORE. BANGALORE.

Through the Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District. (Chikmagalur

Respected Sir,

With reference to your Memo No. C.644/ 20-21 dated the 5th October 1920 forwarding a copy of the Chief Secretary's letter No. 448/ C.B. 51-20-3 dated the 22nd September 1920 stating that I cannot be made Sub-pro-tem Assistant Commissioner as I am one of the most junior Acting Assistant Commissioners, I beg you may be pleased to recommend my case again for the gracious consideration of the Government:——

(1) To treat me as Acting Assistant Commissioner from 10.5.1918, the date of my appointment as Sub-pro-tem Principal of the Police Training School, and (ii) thereby to give me the benefit of the period from 10.5.18 to 30.6.1920 during which I was Principal, in making permanent arrangements among Assistant Commissioners.

2. I beg that the following facts may be kindly considered in support of the prayer above submitted.

(1) At the beginning of the year 1918, I was fully qualified to be made an Assistant Commissioner.

(2) I was appointed Sub-pro-tem Principal from 10.5.1918 and drew pay at Rs. 250/- per mensem from that date. If my prayer is kindly granted, no additional sum in the shape of salary is payable to me.

(3) The Principal is of the grade of an Assistant Commissioner according to the following entry contained on page 5 of the Government Order No. J. 4609-18 Pol. 234-15-10 dated 10th May 1918 (Police Reorganization Scheme).

" One Principal (An Assistant Commissioner) on Rs. 350+50 ... 400 ", Rs. 350/- being the average pay of an Assistant Commissioner's.

(4) Though the Principal's appointment is considered to be outside the cadre of Assistant Commissioners, my name for the reason noted in para 3, could have been included in the list of Officers given the rank and status of Assistant Commissioners, from 10.5.18

In fact the Comptroller did show my name in the list in the Civil List for 1st April 1919 but subsequently omitted it, thinking it a mistake. Mr. B. Muddukrishnapp (a Junior Amildar) and Mr. C. Everard (an Assistant Superintendent and therefore a Junior Police Officer) have been shown in the list from the early part of 1918. If the Comptroller had continued to include my name also, I would have had the benefit of the date viz 10.5.1918, as in the case of Messrs G. Santavirappa, R.A. Narasimhiengar, M.S. Ramachandra Rao, S.N. Sri Narasimhasastry etc..

(5) I believe I would have been appointed an Acting Assistant Commissioner much earlier than 1.7.20, if I had not been appointed Principal; as Mr. B.M. Venkatesiah the officer just above me in the list of Amildars was appointed an Acting Assistant Commissioner

so long ago as 9th May 1918.

(6) In several previous instances, Law Graduate Amildars ~~who~~ were shown a special favor in being appointed Assistant Commissioners in preference to less qualified Amildars.

I BEG TO REMAIN,
RESPECTED SIR,
YOUR MOST OBEDIENT SERVANT,

Chikmagalur.
1st December 20.

C Ramachandra Rao
POLICE SUPERINTENDENT,



8066

J.C. No 163/20-21 dt. 2-12-920 Sd/- 3/12/20

Forwarded with compliments. The representation deserves favourable consideration.

2.12.20 K. Nylar Rao

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

ISSUED.

B2

8

No. 1160 | CB 51-20-5

dt 15. 1. 21.

To

The I G of Police
in Mysore

Sir,

With reference to your letter no R.O.C 644/19-20 dt 21st Dec 1920 in regard to the further representation of Mr. C. Ramachandra Rao for being ~~given~~ made Sub-pro-tem in the grade of Asst Commrs I am directed to request you to kindly inform Mr. Ramachandra Rao that all the circumstances will be taken into consideration when permanent ~~appointments~~ arrangements in the grade of Asst Commrs are made.

I have &c.

15/1/21
for Chief Secy to Govt

HM mw
12/1/1920